

Manual of ReSNA

— matlab software for mixed nonlinear second-order cone complementarity problems based on Regularized Smoothing Newton Algorithm —

Shunsuke Hayashi*

September 4, 2013

1 Introduction

ReSNA (Regularized Smoothing Newton Algorithm) is a matlab software for solving the following mixed nonlinear second-order cone complementarity problem (MNSOCCP):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Find } (x, y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \\ & \text{such that } x \in \mathcal{K}, y \in \mathcal{K}, x^\top y = 0, \\ & y = F_1(x, p), F_2(x, p) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $F_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $F_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ are given continuously differentiable functions, and \mathcal{K} is a Cartesian product of several second-order cones (SOCs), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{K}^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}^{n_m}$$

with $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m = n$ and

$$\mathcal{K}^{n_i} := \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{R} \mid z \geq 0\} & (n_i = 1) \\ \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \mid z_1 \geq \sqrt{z_2^2 + \dots + z_{n_i}^2}\} & (n_i \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

MNSOCCP (1.1) involves many kinds of problems as special cases. When $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_m = 1$, MNSOCCP (1.1) reduces to the following mixed complementary problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Find } (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \\ & \text{such that } x \geq 0, F_1(x, p) \geq 0, x^\top F_1(x, p) = 0, F_2(x, p) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Also, the following nonlinear second-order cone program (NSOCP)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize } \theta(z) \\ & \text{subject to } G(z) \in \mathcal{K}, H(z) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

reduces to MNSOCCP (1.1) via the KKT formulation.

2 How to use ReSNA

ReSNA.m can be used as follows.

Usage 1: `[x,y,p] = ReSNA(FUNC,nabFUNC,K,e1)`

Usage 2: `[x,y,p] = ReSNA(FUNC,nabFUNC,K,e1,x0,y0,p0)`

*Graduate School of Information Sciences (GSIS), Tohoku University (s_hayashi@plan.civil.tohoku.ac.jp)

- **FUNC** — implies the function $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+l}$ such that

$$F(z) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(x, p) \\ F_2(x, p) \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix}.$$

If function m-file **F.m** plays a role of function F , then put **F.m** in the same folder and let **FUNC = @F**. (“at mark” is required before the name of function m-file.)

- **nabFUNC** — implies $\nabla F : \mathbb{R}^{n+l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(n+l) \times (n+l)}$, i.e., the transposed Jacobian of function F . More precisely,

$$\nabla F(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x F_1(x, p) & \nabla_x F_2(x, p) \\ \nabla_p F_1(x, p) & \nabla_p F_2(x, p) \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p \end{pmatrix}.$$

If function m-file **nabF.m** plays a role of function ∇F , then put **nabF.m** in the same folder and let **nabFUNC = @nabF**. If you do not have the closed form of $\nabla F(z)$, let **nabFUNC = []**. In this case, $\nabla F(z)$ is approximated by means of the finite difference method.

- **K** — implies the Cartesian structure of \mathcal{K} in MNSOCCP (1.1). **K** should be given as the row or column vector whose component corresponds to the dimension of each second-order cones. For example, when $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^3 \times \mathcal{K}^1 \times \mathcal{K}^2$, let **K = [3, 1, 2]**. When $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}_+^{10}$, let **K = ones(1, 10)**. When $\mathcal{K} = \emptyset$, let **K = []** or **K = 0**, whereby ReSNA solves the vector equation $F(p) = 0$.
- **e1** — implies the value of l , i.e., the dimension of p or $F_2(x, p)$ in MNSOCCP (1.1). **e1** should be given as a nonnegative integer. If p and $F_2(x, p)$ are absent (non-mixed case), let **e1 = 0**.
- **x0** — implies the initial point $x^{(0)}$ for the regularized smoothing Newton algorithm (Algorithm 4.1 given later). **x0** should be given as a column vector whose length is equal to **sum(K)**. If you omit **x0** or let **x0 = []**, then ReSNA chooses a random vector from $[-1, 1]^n$ automatically.
- **y0** — implies the initial point $y^{(0)}$, which can be omitted similarly to **x0**.
- **p0** — implies the initial point $p^{(0)}$ for the regularized smoothing Newton algorithm. **p0** should be given as a column vector whose length is equal to **e1**. If you omit **p0** or let **p0 = []**, then ReSNA chooses a random vector from $[-1, 1]^l$ automatically.

Parameters in ReSNA.m

- **PROGRESS** — decides whether or not ReSNA displays the detailed progress of the iteration. The default value is **'Y'**.
- **tole** — is used for the termination criterion in Step 1. When $\|H_{\text{NR}}(w^{(k)})\| \leq \text{tole}$, the algorithm terminates normally and the obtained output is guaranteed to be the solution of MNSOCCP (1.1). The default value is **1e-8**.
- **tole_diff** — is used for approximating the Jacobian matrix by means of the finite difference method. The default value is **1e-8**.
- **eta, eta_bar, rho, sigma, kappa, kappa_bar, kappa_hat** — are the parameters indicated in Algorithm 4.1. Some default values are assigned automatically.

3 Basic idea of ReSNA

In this section, we summarize the rough idea of ReSNA. For the detailed mathematical background, see [2].

For $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$ and $y = (y^1, \dots, y^m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$, define function $\Phi_{\text{NR}} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (called *natural residual*) by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\text{NR}}(x, y) &:= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{\text{NR}}(x^1, y^1) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{\text{NR}}(x^m, y^m) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \varphi_{\text{NR}}(x^i, y^i) &:= x^i - P_{\mathcal{K}^{n_i}}(x^i - y^i), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{K}^{n_i}}(x^i - y^i)$ denotes the Euclidean projection of $x^i - y^i$ onto \mathcal{K}^{n_i} . Then, it follows that

$$\Phi_{\text{NR}}(x, y) = 0 \iff x \in \mathcal{K}, y \in \mathcal{K}, x^T y = 0.$$

Therefore, letting $H_{\text{NR}} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+l}$ be defined by

$$H_{\text{NR}}(x, y, p) := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{\text{NR}}(x, y) \\ F_1(x, p) - y \\ F_2(x, p) \end{pmatrix},$$

MNSOCCP (1.1) is reformulated as the following vector equation equivalently:

$$H_{\text{NR}}(x, y, p) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Since MNSOCCP (1.1) is equivalent to (3.1), we have only to solve (3.1) instead of MNSOCCP (1.1). However, function Φ_{NR} is nondifferentiable, and hence the Newton based method cannot be applied directly. Moreover, the level set of $\|H_{\text{NR}}(x, y, p)\|$ may often be unbounded even when F_1 and F_2 have nice properties. To overcome those difficulties, we introduce the smoothing and the regularization methods.

Smoothing method

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mu}(x, y) &:= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{\mu}(x^1, y^1) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{\mu}(x^m, y^m) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \varphi_{\mu}(x^i, y^i) &:= x^i - P_{\mu}(x^i - y^i), \\ P_{\mu}(z) &:= \mu \hat{g}(\lambda_1/\mu) u^{\{1\}} + \mu \hat{g}(\lambda_2/\mu) u^{\{2\}}, \\ \hat{g}(\alpha) &:= \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4} + \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the spectral values of z , and $u^{\{1\}}$ and $u^{\{2\}}$ are the spectral vectors of z . (See [1, 2].) Then, Φ_{μ} satisfies the following properties:

- Φ_{μ} is continuously differentiable for any fixed $\mu > 0$.
- $\lim_{\mu \searrow 0} \Phi_{\mu}(x, y) = \Phi_{\text{NR}}(x, y)$ for any fixed $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Hence, we use Φ_{μ} instead of Φ_{NR} with letting $\mu \searrow 0$. This is the basic idea of smoothing method.

Regularization method

Let the functions $F_{1,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $F_{2,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1,\varepsilon}(x, p) &:= F_1(x, p) + \varepsilon x, \\ F_{2,\varepsilon}(x, p) &:= F_2(x, p) + \varepsilon p, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, with a positive parameter ε . In general, functions $F_{1,\varepsilon}$ and $F_{2,\varepsilon}$ have better properties than F_1 and F_2 from the viewpoint of global convergence. For example, if $F = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is monotone, then $\begin{pmatrix} F_{1,\varepsilon} \\ F_{2,\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}$ is strongly monotone for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Regularized smoothing Newton method

Define functions $H_{\mu,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+l}$ by

$$H_{\mu,\varepsilon}(x, y, p) := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_\mu(x, y) \\ F_{1,\varepsilon}(x, p) - y \\ F_{2,\varepsilon}(x, p) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, we solve the vector equation $H_{\mu,\varepsilon}(x, y, p) = 0$ by Newton's method with letting $(\mu, \varepsilon) \searrow (0, 0)$.

4 Algorithm

4.1 Explicit expression of Jacobian and additional functions

Remark 4.1 From the definition of $H_{\mu,\varepsilon}$, Φ_μ , \hat{g} , etc., $\nabla H_{\mu,\varepsilon}(x, y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n+l) \times (2n+l)}$ can be calculated as

$$\nabla H_{\mu,\varepsilon}(x, y, p) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}\{I - \nabla P_\mu(x^i - y^i)\}_{i=1}^m & \nabla_x F_1(x, p) + \varepsilon I & \nabla_x F_2(x, p) \\ \text{diag}\{\nabla P_\mu(x^i - y^i)\}_{i=1}^m & -I & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla_p F_1(x, p) & \nabla_p F_2(x, p) + \varepsilon I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\nabla P_\mu(z)$ is written as

$$\nabla P_\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \hat{g}'(z_1/\mu)I & \text{if } z_2 = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} b_\mu & \frac{c_\mu z_2^T}{\|z_2\|} \\ \frac{c_\mu z_2}{\|z_2\|} & a_\mu I + (b_\mu - a_\mu) \frac{z_2 z_2^T}{\|z_2\|^2} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } z_2 \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_\mu = \frac{\hat{g}(\lambda_2/\mu) - \hat{g}(\lambda_1/\mu)}{\lambda_2/\mu - \lambda_1/\mu}, \\ b_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{g}'(\lambda_2/\mu) + \hat{g}'(\lambda_1/\mu)), \\ c_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{g}'(\lambda_2/\mu) - \hat{g}'(\lambda_1/\mu)). \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Definition 4.1

(a) Let $\tilde{\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}(z) := \begin{cases} \min_{i \in \mathcal{I}(z)} |\lambda_i(z)| & (\mathcal{I}(z) \neq \emptyset) \\ 0 & (\mathcal{I}(z) = \emptyset), \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\lambda_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the spectral values of z , and $\mathcal{I}(z) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ is the index set defined by $\mathcal{I}(z) := \{i \mid \lambda_i(z) \neq 0\}$.

(b) Let $\bar{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be defined by

$$\bar{\mu}(\alpha, \delta) := \begin{cases} +\infty & (\delta \geq 1/2 \text{ or } \alpha = 0) \\ \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|\sqrt{\delta} & (\delta < 1/2 \text{ and } \alpha \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.1 Let \hat{g} be defined as in Section 3. Let γ_μ and γ_0^+ be defined as in [2], respectively. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, \bar{\mu}(\alpha, \delta))$, we have

$$|\gamma'_\mu(\alpha) - \gamma_0^+(\alpha)| < \delta. \quad (4.5)$$

4.2 Main algorithm

For convenience, we denote

$$w := \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad w^{(k)} := \begin{pmatrix} x^{(k)} \\ y^{(k)} \\ p^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Algorithm 4.1 Choose $\eta, \rho \in (0, 1)$, $\bar{\eta} \in (0, \eta]$, $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$, $\kappa > 0$ and $\hat{\kappa} > 0$.

Step 0 Choose $w^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+l}$ and $\beta_0 \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\mu_0 := \|H_{\text{NR}}(w^{(0)})\|$ and $\varepsilon_0 := \|H_{\text{NR}}(w^{(0)})\|$. Set $k := 0$.

Step 1 Terminate if $\|H_{\text{NR}}(w^{(k)})\| = 0$.

Step 2

Step 2.0 Set $v^{(0)} := w^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+l}$ and $j := 0$.

Step 2.1 Find a vector $\hat{d}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+l}$ such that

$$H_{\mu_k, \varepsilon_k}(v^{(j)}) + \nabla H_{\mu_k, \varepsilon_k}(v^{(j)})^T \hat{d}^{(j)} = 0.$$

Step 2.2 If $\|H_{\mu_k, \varepsilon_k}(v^{(j)} + \hat{d}^{(j)})\| \leq \beta_k$, then let $w^{(k+1)} := v^{(j)} + \hat{d}^{(j)}$ and go to Step 3. Otherwise, go to Step 2.3.

Step 2.3 Find the smallest nonnegative integer m such that

$$\Psi_{\mu_k, \varepsilon_k}(v^{(j)} + \rho^m \hat{d}^{(j)}) \leq (1 - 2\sigma\rho^m)\Psi_{\mu_k, \varepsilon_k}(v^{(j)}).$$

Let $m_j := m$, $\tau_j := \rho^{m_j}$ and $v^{(j+1)} := v^{(j)} + \tau_j \hat{d}^{(j)}$.

Step 2.4 If

$$\|H_{\mu_k, \varepsilon_k}(v^{(j+1)})\| \leq \beta_k, \quad (4.6)$$

then let $w^{(k+1)} := v^{(j+1)}$ and go to Step 3. Otherwise, set $j := j + 1$ and go back to Step 2.1.

Step 3 Update the parameters as follows:

$$\mu_{k+1} := \min \left\{ \kappa \|H_{\text{NR}}(w^{(k+1)})\|^2, \mu_0 \bar{\eta}^{k+1}, \bar{\mu} \left(\tilde{\lambda}(x^{(k+1)} - y^{(k+1)}), \hat{\kappa} \|H_{\text{NR}}(w^{(k+1)})\| \right) \right\},$$

$$\varepsilon_{k+1} := \min \left\{ \kappa \|H_{\text{NR}}(w^{(k+1)})\|^2, \varepsilon_0 \bar{\eta}^{k+1} \right\},$$

$$\beta_{k+1} := \beta_0 \eta^{k+1}.$$

Set $k := k + 1$. Go back to Step 1.

In Step 3, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the function given by (4.4), and $\bar{\mu}(\alpha, \delta)$ is determined so that $|\gamma'_\mu(\alpha) - \gamma_0^+(\alpha)| < \delta$ for any $\mu \in (0, \bar{\mu}(\alpha, \delta))$. In the inner iterations Steps 2.0–2.4, a damped Newton method seeks a point $w^{(k+1)}$ such that $\|H_{\mu_k, \varepsilon_k}(w^{(k+1)})\| \leq \beta_k$. Note that we have $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Step 3 specifies the updating rule of the parameters, where $\{\beta_k\}$, $\{\mu_k\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ converge to 0 since $0 < \bar{\eta} \leq \eta < 1$. Algorithm 4.1 is well-defined in the sense that Steps 2.0–2.4 find $v^{(j+1)}$ satisfying (4.6) in a finite number of iterations for each k .

4.3 Convergence properties

In [2], the authors proved that Algorithm 4.1 is globally and quadratically convergent when $l = 0$ (i.e., p and F_2 are absent.) and F_1 is monotone.

References

- [1] M. FUKUSHIMA, Z.-Q. LUO, AND P. TSENG, *Smoothing functions for second-order cone complementarity problems*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 12 (2001), pp. 436–460.
- [2] S. HAYASHI, N. YAMASHITA, AND M. FUKUSHIMA, *A combined smoothing and regularization method for monotone second-order cone complementarity problems*, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 15 (2005), pp. 593–615.